

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE  
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

EDWIN YOSHIHIRO FUJINAGA and MRI  
INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:13-CV-1658 JCM (CWH)

ORDER

Presently before the court is receiver Robb Evans & Associates LLC's (the "receiver") motion for order compelling defendant Edwin Fujinaga ("defendant") to appear and show cause why he should not be held in contempt of court. (ECF No. 331). Defendant filed a response (ECF No. 334), to which the receiver replied (ECF No. 341).

Also before the court is relief defendant June Fujinaga's ("relief defendant") motion for leave to file state complaint. (ECF Nos. 356, 357). Plaintiff the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") filed a response (ECF No. 359), to which relief defendant replied (ECF No. 361).

Also before the court is relief defendant's motion for approval of budget and release of funds. (ECF No. 358). The SEC filed a response (ECF No. 362), to which relief defendant replied (ECF No. 364).

Also before the court is the SEC's motion for order directing defendant and relief defendant to vacate property and provide assistance to effectuate transfer of property. (ECF No. 363).

...

...

1       **I.       Facts**

2               The instant case arises from a Ponzi scheme perpetrated by defendants Edwin Fujinaga and  
3       MRI International, Inc. Defendants collected hundreds of millions of dollars for purported  
4       investments in medical accounts receivable. Defendants used these funds to repay earlier  
5       investments as well as for their own personal expenses. By May 2013, defendants had entirely  
6       depleted the invested funds. (ECF No. 118).

7               Relief defendant June Fujinaga, defendant Edwin Fujinaga's wife, received investor funds  
8       to buy real estate. Certain real estate purchased with these proceeds is titled in the name of relief  
9       defendant the Yunju Trust. (ECF No. 118).

10              On September 11, 2013, the SEC filed a civil enforcement action against defendants and  
11       relief defendants. (ECF No. 2). On October 3, 2014, the court granted summary judgment in favor  
12       of the SEC on liability against defendants. (ECF No. 156). In the same order, the court denied  
13       relief defendants' motions to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction and failure to plead  
14       with specificity. (ECF No. 156).

15              On October 14, 2014, the court granted the parties' stipulation to extend discovery as to  
16       relief defendants. Pursuant to the stipulation, the court set a discovery deadline of April 3, 2015,  
17       and a dispositive motions deadline of May 3, 2015. (ECF No. 162).

18              On November 24, 2014, the SEC filed a motion for judgment against defendants. (ECF  
19       No. 178). On January 27, 2015, the court granted the motion, holding defendants (1) jointly and  
20       severally liable for \$442,229,611.70 in disgorgement; (2) jointly and severally liable  
21       \$102,129,752.38 in prejudgment interest; and (3) individually liable for civil money penalties of  
22       \$20,000,000.00 each. (ECF No. 188). On the same date, the clerk entered judgment in favor of  
23       the SEC in the same amounts. (ECF No. 189).

24              On February 4, 2015, the SEC filed a motion to certify the court's judgment as final  
25       pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b). Defendants did not file a response, and the  
26       court granted the motion on February 25, 2015. (ECF No. 195). On April 20, 2015, the court  
27       denied defendants' motion to reconsider. (ECF No. 210). On May 20, 2015, defendants filed a  
28

1 notice of appeal. (ECF No. 227). On April 4, 2016, relief defendant filed a notice of appeal. (ECF  
2 No. 320).

## 3 **II. Discussion<sup>1</sup>**

4 As an initial matter, “[t]he filing of a notice of appeal is an event of jurisdictional  
5 significance—it confers jurisdiction on the court of appeals and divests the district court of its  
6 control over those aspects of the case involved in the appeal.” *Griggs v. Provident Consumer*  
7 *Discount Co.*, 459 U.S. 56, 58 (1982); *see also Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. v. Sw.*  
8 *Marine Inc.*, 242 F.3d 1163, 1166 (9th Cir. 2001) (“Once a notice of appeal is filed, the district  
9 court is divested of jurisdiction over matters being appealed.”).

10 However, after the notice of appeal has been filed, the final judgment in an action for an  
11 injunction or a receivership, and any proceedings taken to enforce it, are not stayed. *See* Fed. R.  
12 Civ. P. 62(a). This exception also includes orders to pay disgorgement. *See SEC v. Clark*, 915  
13 F.2d 439, 453 (9th Cir. 1990) (“The SEC’s power to obtain injunctive relief has been broadly read  
14 to include disgorgement of profits realized from violations of the securities law.”) (citations  
15 omitted).

16 Because the receiver’s motion (ECF No. 331) and the SEC’s motion (ECF No. 363) involve  
17 enforcing a receivership and/or an order to pay disgorgement, this court is the appropriate forum  
18 to decide the merits of these motions.

19 Further, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that the district courts have an inherent power to  
20 “preserve the status quo during the pendency of an appeal.” *Natural Resources Defense Council,*  
21 *Inc.*, 242 F.3d at 1166. Because the release of funds would diminish the funds available to  
22 compensate the victims and represent a change to the status quo of the case prior to a determination  
23 on appeal, this court is also the appropriate forum to decide the merits of relief defendant’s motions  
24 (ECF Nos. 356, 358).

---

25  
26  
27 <sup>1</sup> The court finds these motion properly resolved without oral argument. *See* LR 78-1.  
28

1           Notwithstanding, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 62.1 additionally provides that if a  
 2 motion is made for relief that the court lacks authority to grant because of an appeal that has been  
 3 docketed and is pending, the court may:

- 4           (1) defer considering the motion;  
 5           (2) deny the motion; or  
 6           (3) state either that it would grant the motion if the court of appeals remands for  
             that purpose or that the motion raises a substantive issue.

7           Fed. R. Civ. P. 62.1(a).

8           ***A. The order appointing receiver (ECF No. 226)***

9           On May 15, 2015, in the order appointing Robb Evans & Associates LLC as receiver, the  
 10 court ordered in relevant part:

11           4. The Receiver is hereby authorized, empowered, and directed to perform the  
 12 following duties and responsibilities, as reasonably appropriate and necessary to  
             comply with and effectuate the goals and purposes of equitable receivership:

13           . . . .

14           c. Take and retain immediate possession, custody, and control of Relief  
 15 Defendants CSA Service Center, LLC, and The Factoring Company, and  
 16 any other entities in which the Defendants hold an ownership interest,  
 17 including, but not limited to, HMC Service Center, LLC. Defendants  
 18 Fujinaga and MRI are hereby ordered to turn over to the Receiver all shares,  
 19 stock certificates, partnership interests, and any other forms of ownership  
             in Relief Defendants CSA Service Center, LLC, and The Factoring  
             Company; HMC Service Center, LLC; and any other entities in which the  
             Defendants hold an ownership interest. The Receiver shall have all powers,  
             authorities, rights, and privileges heretofore possessed by the officers,  
             directors, managers, and general and limited partners of those entities under  
             applicable state and federal law, by the governing chargers, by-laws,  
             articles, and/or agreements in addition to all powers and authority of a  
             receiver in equity.

20           d. Take and retain immediate control over any civil action in which one or  
 21 more of the Defendants is a party. . . .

22           e. Take and retain immediate and exclusive possession, custody, and  
 23 control from the Defendants of all keys, key cards, passwords, user names,  
 24 and any other means of access to the real or personal property owned or  
 25 controlled, directly or indirectly, by the Defendants and any locked or  
 26 secure areas within the Defendants' real property including, but not limited  
             to, safes, secure computer or information systems, secure rooms, and locked  
             cabinets. The Receiver also shall take immediate and exclusive possess,  
             custody, and control from the Defendants of all keys to any safe deposit  
             boxes within the Defendants' control.

27           f. Take all steps the Receiver deems necessary to secure and protect the real  
 28 property owned or controlled by the Defendants including, but not limited  
             to, their personal property, premises, files, and computer or information  
             systems.

            . . . .

1        . . . . .  
 2        16. All persons who receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or  
 3        otherwise are enjoined from in any way disturbing the assets of the Defendants and  
 4        from filing or prosecuting any judicial action or proceeding of any kind, civil or  
 5        criminal, pertaining to the assets or funds of the Defendants, and from appointing a  
 6        receiver or administrator of the assets or funds of the Defendants, except on leave  
 7        having been granted by this Court. The Receiver shall not be required to respond  
 8        to any subpoena or other court process (for documents or testimony) relating to the  
 9        Receiver's duties, except on order of this Court.

10        . . . .  
 11        23. Subject to all rights and privileges available under the law, the Defendants, and  
 12        their agents, directors, officers, servants, employees, and attorneys-in-fact, and  
 13        anyone affiliated with, in control of, or under the control of, the Defendants, shall:

14                a. Provide to the Receiver complete and unfettered access to all books,  
 15                documents, and records pertaining to their assets, wherever located, and in  
 16                whatever form or format they exist, including computerized and electronic  
 17                records, contracts, and tax returns. The Defendants shall cooperate fully  
 18                with the Receiver in this regard, and shall turn over or provide complete and  
 19                unfettered access to such books, documents, and records.

20        . . . . .

21        (ECF No. 226 at 2–10).

22                ***B. Receiver Robb Evans & Associates LLC's (the "receiver") motion for order***  
 23                ***compelling defendant Edwin Fujinaga ("defendant") to appear and show cause***  
 24                ***why he should not be held in contempt of court (ECF No. 331)***

25        The receiver requests an order compelling defendant to show cause why he should not be  
 26        held in contempt of court for maintaining a personal bank account (the "secret account") in secret  
 27        during the past year and converting estate assets for his personal use in violation of the court's  
 28        order (ECF No. 226 at 8 ¶16, 10 ¶23). (ECF No. 331 at 2–3). The receiver requests that the court  
 29        enter the following orders:

30                B. Order that Edwin Fujinaga immediately remit to the Receiver all money  
 31                withdrawn or otherwise debited from the Secret Account, in the total amount of  
 32                \$64,600.00, and to contemporaneously [*sic*] disclose to the Receiver in writing,  
 33                under oath, and in detail, the precise source of such funds;

34                C. Order that Edwin Fujinaga provide a detailed accounting to the Receiver . . . ,  
 35                which accounting must be made under oath and must provide itemized detailed  
 36                information concerning each deposit/credit to, and each withdrawal/debit from, the  
 37                Secret Account from May 1, 2015 to the present day to include, without limitation,  
 38                the precise source of each such deposit/credit, the recipient or beneficiary of each  
 39                withdrawal/debit, and the purpose of each debit;

40                D. Order that Edwin Fujinaga promptly provide to the Receiver full and complete  
 41                copies of his 2013, 2014, and 2015 federal income tax returns;

1 E. Order that Edwin Fujinaga promptly provide to the Receiver an original, fully  
 2 completed, and duly executed IRS form 2848, which is a power of attorney and  
 3 declaration of representative, in which form Edwin Fujinaga must authorize the IRS  
 to release full and complete copies of Edwin Fujinaga's 2013, 2014, and 2015 federal  
 income tax returns to the Receiver . . . ;

4 F. Order that Edwin Fujinaga promptly provide to the Receiver an original, fully  
 5 completed, and duly executed IRS form 4506, which is request for copy of tax  
 6 return(s), in which form Edwin Fujinaga must authorize the IRS to mail to the  
 Receiver full and complete copies of Edwin Fujinaga's 2013, 2014, and 2015 federal  
 income tax returns . . . ;

7 G. Order that, upon penalty of imprisonment for contempt of court, Edwin Fujinaga  
 8 immediately serve the Reciever [*sic*] with a sworn accounting of all funds and other  
 9 assets that he, or any of the Relief Defendants, owns, possesses, or controls, or has a  
 beneficial interest in, or has a right to own, possess, or control, in whatever form, and  
 wherever located[.]

10 (ECF No. 331 at 5–6).

11 In response, defendant contends that it was not his intention to open or maintain the Mutual  
 12 of Omaha account as a “secret account” and that “[i]t is a matter of public record that the second  
 13 check deposited to the account was a social security payment.” (ECF No. 334 at 4). Defendant  
 14 further asserts that “[n]ow that the Receiver has taken the \$202,706.20 balance remaining in the  
 15 Mutual of Omaha bank account, [he] is left with no funds of his own to pay for living expenses,  
 16 including medical necessities.” (ECF No. 334 at 4).

17 The court finds the receiver's requests to be within the scope of authority that the court  
 18 granted the receiver in its order appointing receiver. (ECF No. 226). Defendant does not dispute  
 19 the fact that he is in noncompliance with the court's previous orders, but rather contends that he  
 20 did not open the account in secret.

21 In light of the foregoing, the court will grant in part and deny in part the receiver's motion.  
 22 Specifically, the court will enter orders as to (B) through (G) of the receiver's requested orders,  
 23 but deny its request for a show cause order without prejudice so as to give defendant an opportunity  
 24 to comply with the court's instant order. Defendant shall have thirty (30) days from the entry of  
 25 this order to comply with the receiver's requests, the specifics of which are detailed below.  
 26 Defendant is cautioned that failure to comply with this order may result in contempt proceedings.

27 . . .

28 . . .

1           ***C. Relief defendant June Fujinaga’s (“relief defendant”) motion for leave to file state***  
2           ***complaint (ECF No. 356)***

3           Relief defendant asserts that she “made lawful and legitimate loans to HMC Service  
4           Center, LLC, CSA Service Center, LLC, and Harmon Primary Care, LLC with funds that were  
5           cleared of any impropriety” by the IRS. (ECF No. 356 at 3–4). In particular, she claims that “she  
6           was absolved of any wrongdoing by the Department of Treasury Internal Revenue Service” and  
7           that from January 12, 2012, through December 31, 2013, she “made loans drawn from her  
8           retirement account as a secured party to: HMC Service Center, LLC, CSA Service Center, LLC,  
9           and Harmon Primary Care, LLC.” (ECF No. 356 at 3). Relief defendant now seeks leave to pursue  
10          her “rightful claim to the funds she loaned from her retirement account.” (ECF No. 356 at 4).

11          In response, the SEC asserts that “[t]hese funds are presently under the control of the  
12          [r]eceiver appointed by this [c]ourt, awaiting disbursement to a Fair Fund for the benefit of the  
13          investors defrauded by [r]elief [d]efendant Fujinaga’s husband.” (ECF No. 359 at 3). Moreover,  
14          the SEC argues that relief defendant fails to cite to any caselaw to support that she is entitled to  
15          such relief. (ECF No. 359 at 3). Further, the SEC contends that relief defendant’s motion is an  
16          attempt to circumvent this court’s prior decision by relitigating the issue of whether she had a  
17          legitimate claim to these funds as purported loan repayments. (ECF No. 359 at 5).

18          The court granted summary judgment against relief defendants June Fujinaga and the  
19          Yunju Trust and ordered relief defendants to pay \$2,333,382.00 in disgorgement. (ECF No. 310).  
20          In the order appointing receiver, the court enjoined all persons with actual notice of the order from  
21          “filing or prosecuting any judicial action or proceeding of any kind, civil or criminal, pertaining to  
22          the assets or funds of the [d]efendants . . . except on leave having been granted by this [c]ourt.”  
23          (ECF No. 226 at 8).

24          The funds relief defendant seeks to recover in her state court complaint are the same funds  
25          currently under the control of the receiver. Relief defendant provides no caselaw or arguments in  
26          support of her motion. Rather, the motion merely reasserts arguments previously rejected by the  
27          court.

28          Accordingly, the court will deny relief defendant’s motion for leave to file a state  
complaint.



1  
2 ***D. Relief defendant's motion for approval of budget and release of funds (ECF No. 358)***

3 Relief defendant requests that the receiver either provide her with approximately \$5,000.00  
4 for various monthly expenses or pay her monthly expenses so that her credit rating does not suffer.  
5 (ECF No. 358 at 3).

6 In response, the SEC argues that relief defendant fails to address whether she is employed  
7 or if anything prevents her from obtaining employment so that she can pay for her personal  
8 expenses. (ECF No. 362 at 2). The SEC notes that relief defendant and her son will receive  
9 approximately \$1,826.00 per month as social security direct deposits. (ECF No. 362 at 2). Further,  
10 the SEC asserts that relief defendant has access to these incoming funds to pay for her personal  
11 expenses because the receiver has unfrozen the account. (ECF No. 362 at 3).

12 The relief sought is within the authority of the receiver to grant or deny based on relevant  
13 considerations presented to the receiver. Accordingly, relief defendant's motion will be denied.

14  
15 ***E. Plaintiff SEC's motion for order directing defendant and relief defendant to vacate property and provide assistance to effectuate transfer of property (ECF No. 363)***

16 The SEC requests that the court order defendant and relief defendant to vacate the property  
17 located at 9009 Greensboro Lane, Las Vegas, Nevada (the "Greensboro property") and to provide  
18 any and all assistance to the receiver to effectuate the transfer of property. (ECF No. 363 at 4).  
19 The SEC asserts that defendant and relief defendant have refused to vacate the Greensboro  
20 property in contravention of the court's repeated orders (ECF Nos. 226, 346) on the issue. (ECF  
21 No. 363 at 3). Further, the SEC maintains that the receiver has sent several requests demanding  
22 that defendant and relief defendant vacate the property. (ECF No. 363 at 3–4).

23 On July 26, 2016, the court denied defendant's motion to stay the sale of his residential  
24 property located at 9009 Greensboro Lane, Las Vegas, Nevada, pending the conclusion of his civil  
25 and criminal proceedings. (ECF No. 346). The receiver sent a letter dated August 2, 2016, to  
26 counsel for both parties seeking access to conduct a site inspection and giving defendant and relief  
27 defendant until August 31, 2016, to vacate the premises. (ECF No. 363 at 3). The receiver sent a  
28



1 second letter dated September 16, 2016, giving them five days to vacate the Greensboro property.  
2 (ECF No. 363 at 3).

3 Defendant and relief defendant are to comply with the receiver's requests to the extent that  
4 such requests are consistent with the court's order appointing receiver. (ECF No. 226). In the  
5 event that defendant and relief defendant fail to comply with the receiver's requests, the receiver  
6 is the proper party to raise the issue to the court.

7 Accordingly, the court will grant in part and deny in part the SEC's motion. Specifically,  
8 defendant and relief defendant are to provide any and all assistance requested by the receiver in  
9 relation to the Greensboro property provided that such requests are in accordance with the authority  
10 granted to the receiver by the court's order appointing receiver.

### 11 **III. Conclusion**

12 Accordingly,

13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that receiver Robb Evans &  
14 Associates LLC's motion for order compelling defendant Edwin Fujinaga to appear and show  
15 cause why he should not be held in contempt of court (ECF No. 331) be, and the same hereby is,  
16 GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART, consistent with the following:

- 17 (1) Defendant Edwin Fujinaga shall immediately remit to the receiver all money  
18 withdrawn or otherwise debited from the secret account, in the total amount of  
19 \$64,600.00, and to contemporaneously disclose to the receiver in writing, under oath,  
20 and in detail, the precise source of such funds;
- 21 (2) Defendant Edwin Fujinaga shall provide a detailed accounting to the receiver within  
22 thirty (30) days of the entry of this order, which accounting must be made under oath and  
23 must provide itemized detailed information concerning each deposit/credit to, and each  
24 withdrawal/debit from, the secret account from May 1, 2015, to the present day to  
25 include, without limitation, the precise source of each such deposit/credit, the recipient or  
26 beneficiary of each withdrawal/debit, and the purpose of each debit;
- 27 (3) Defendant Edwin Fujinaga shall promptly provide to the receiver full and complete  
28 copies of his 2013, 2014, and 2015 federal income tax returns;
- 29 (4) Defendant Edwin Fujinaga shall promptly provide to the receiver an original, fully  
30 completed, and duly executed IRS form 2848, which is a power of attorney and  
31 declaration of representative, in which form Edwin Fujinaga must authorize the IRS to  
32 release full and complete copies of Edwin Fujinaga's 2013, 2014, and 2015 federal  
33 income tax returns to the receiver;
- 34 (5) Defendant Edwin Fujinaga shall promptly provide to the receiver an original, fully  
35 completed, and duly executed IRS form 4506, which is request for copy of tax return(s),  
36 in which form Edwin Fujinaga must authorize the IRS to mail to the receiver full and  
37 complete copies of Edwin Fujinaga's 2013, 2014, and 2015 federal income tax returns;  
38 and
- 39 (6) Upon penalty of imprisonment for contempt of court, defendant Edwin Fujinaga shall  
40 immediately serve the receiver with a sworn accounting of all funds and other assets that

1 he, or any of the relief defendants, owns, possesses, or controls, or has a beneficial  
2 interest in, or has a right to own, possess, or control, in whatever form, and wherever  
located.

3 Defendant is cautioned that failure to comply with the foregoing may result in civil contempt  
4 proceedings.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that relief defendant June Fujinaga's motion for leave to file  
6 state complaint (ECF No. 356) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that relief defendant's motion for approval of budget and  
8 release of funds (ECF No. 358) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff SEC's motion for order directing defendant and  
10 relief defendant to vacate property and provide assistance to effectuate transfer of property (ECF  
11 No. 363) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART, consistent  
12 with the following:

13 Defendant Edwin Fujinaga and relief defendant June Fujinaga shall provide  
14 assistance to the receiver to effectuate the transfer of the Greensboro property and  
15 all other requests by the receiver within the scope of its authority pursuant to the  
order appointing receiver (ECF No. 226).

16 DATED November 15, 2016.

17   
18 \_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE